arXiv:1703.05062v1 [math.AG] 15 Mar 2017

An application of random plane slicing to counting $\mathbb{F}_q$–points on hypersurfaces

Kaloyan Slavov

March 16, 2017

Abstract

Let X be an absolutely irreducible hypersurface of degree d in $\mathbb{A}^n$, defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. The Lang–Weil bound gives an interval that contains $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We exhibit an explicit interval, which does *not* contain $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and which overlaps with the Lang–Weil interval. In particular, we sharpen the best known nontrivial lower bound for $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The proof uses a combinatorial probabilistic technique.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field with q elements and let $\mathbb{A}^n$ be the affine space over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ (where $n \geq 3$) be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree d, defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

We recall the following

Theorem 1 (Weil, [6])**.** *Let X be an absolutely irreducible plane curve of degree d. Then*

$$|\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) - q| \leq (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + d + 1. \tag{1}$$

In the higher-dimensional case, Lang and Weil [4] have established the bound

$$|\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) - q^{n-1}| \leq (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} + O_d(q^{n-2}).$$

There have been various results and improvements on the implied constant. In the case $n = 3$, the best explicit bound that we were able to find in the literature is the $n = 3$ case of the following outcome of advanced l-adic étale cohomology techniques:

Theorem 2 (Ghorpade & Lachaud, [2])**.** *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ be an absolutely irreducible hypersurface over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree d. Then*

$$|\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) - q^{n-1}| \leq (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} + 12(d+3)^{n+1}q^{n-2}. \tag{2}$$

This research was supported by NCCR SwissMAP of the SNSF.

The best explicit bound for $n \geq 4$ that we are aware of is given by

Theorem 3 (Cafure & Matera, [1]). *For an absolutely irreducible hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ of degree d over $\mathbb{F}_q$,*

$$|\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) - q^{n-1}| \leq (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} + 5d^{13/3}q^{n-2}. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, if $q > 15d^{13/3}$, then

$$|\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) - q^{n-1}| \leq (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} + (5d^2+d+1)q^{n-2}. \tag{4}$$



The idea of Cafure and Matera is to estimate the number of two–dimensional affine planes $H \subset \mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ for which the intersection $X \cap H$ has a given number of geometrically irreducible $\mathbb{F}_q$–components, and apply the Lang–Weil bound coming from the one–dimensional case (1) for each such $X \cap H$. They refine the theorem of Kaltofen (see [3]), which states that for $q > \frac{3}{2}d^4 - 2d^3 + \frac{5}{2}d^2$, there exists a plane H such that $X \cap H$ is geometrically irreducible, by keeping track of the actual number of geometrically irreducible components.

We combine the main idea of [1] with the technique of "random plane slicing" from [5] and prove the following

Theorem 4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ (with $n \geq 3$) be an absolutely irreducible hypersurface over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree d. Let $N = \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$.*

Consider parameters $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $e > 0$ such that $e\alpha^2 > 1$. If

$$N > \max\left(\frac{d^2q^{n-2}}{4(1-\alpha)}, \frac{e}{e\alpha^2-1}q^{n-2}\right),$$

then in fact

$$N > q^{n-1} - (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} - (d+1+e)q^{n-2}.$$

Notice that in case d is large, namely

$$d \geq 2\left(\frac{e(1-\alpha)}{e\alpha^2-1}\right)^{1/2},$$

the condition on N in the theorem becomes simply $N > \dfrac{d^2q^{n-2}}{4(1-\alpha)}$.

It is enlightening to write down the statement of Theorem 4 for a concrete choice of the parameters: for example, when $\alpha = 2/3, e = 9$, we can state the following

Corollary 5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ $(n \geq 3)$ be an absolutely irreducible hypersurface over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree d. Let $N = \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$.*

$$\text{If } N > \frac{3}{4}d^2q^{n-2}, \text{ then in fact } \quad N > q^{n-1} - (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} - (d+10)q^{n-2}.$$

In other words, N cannot belong to the interval



This forbidden interval overlaps with the best known Lang–Weil intervals in the various ranges for q, as summarized in the diagram below (we analyze the case $n \geq 4$; the pictures for $n = 3$ are similar). When we can afford a slight loss of precision, we write $g(d) + \ldots$ for $g(d) + o(g(d))$. Note that the content of Corollary 5 concerns the regime $q \geq d^4 + \ldots$.

a) $d^4 + \ldots \leq q \leq 1.5d^4 + \ldots$

b) $1.5d^4 + \ldots < q < 5d^{13/3} + \ldots$

c) $5d^{13/3} + \ldots \leq q \leq 15d^{13/3} + \ldots$

d) $15d^{13/3} + \ldots < q$



In range a), the left endpoint of the Lang-Weil interval is 0, since we do not know any nontrivial lower bound for N, when $q < \frac{3}{2}d^4 - 2d^3 + \frac{5}{2}d^2$. In range b), the lower bound coming from (3) is vacuous, but Theorem 5.4 in [1] implies[1] that $N \geq 1$. In the ranges c) and d), the best known Lang–Weil intervals are given by (3) and (4), respectively. We formulate the precise statement describing cases c) and d) as the following

[1]The authors state a hypothesis $q > 2d^4$ but their proof works in fact for $q > 1.5d^4 + \ldots$. The dotted vertical line in our diagram stands for the fact that the argument in [1] actually gives a slightly better lower bound than the stated $N \geq 1$.

Corollary 6. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) be an absolutely irreducible hypersurface over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree d. Let $N = \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose that*

$$q \geq \frac{1}{4}\left((d-1)(d-2) + \sqrt{(d-1)^2(d-2)^2 + 20d^{13/3} + 3d^2}\right)^2.$$

Then

$$N > q^{n-1} - (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} - (d+10)q^{n-2}.$$

Proof. For such values of q, (3) implies that $N > \frac{3}{4}d^2q^{n-2}$. □

Compare this estimate with the lower bounds coming from (3) and (4). While we sharpen the best known nontrivial lower bounds for N, we find the existence of an exclusion zone in cases a) and b) no less interesting.

As an application, the bound in Corollary 5 sharpens Theorem 2 in [7], concerning the existence of a smooth point on a hypersurface over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Corollary 7. *Let $G \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, ..., x_n]$ be an absolutely irreducible polynomial of degree d, and let $H \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, ..., x_n]$ be a polynomial of degree e, not divisible by G. Then there exists a nonsingular zero of G, which is not a zero of H, provided that*

$$q > \frac{1}{4}\left((d-1)(d-2) + \sqrt{(d-1)^2(d-2)^2 + 4(d^2+de+10)}\right)^2.$$

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 2 in [7], replace the bound coming from the Cafure–Matera estimate (3) by the estimate from Corollary 5. □

The strategy for proving Theorem 4 is as follows. We intersect X with random planes H defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Each slice $X \cap H$ satisfies a dichotomy property: it either has a component which is an absolutely irreducible plane curve of degree at most d, hence contains plenty of $\mathbb{F}_q$–rational points by (1), or $X \cap H$ contains very few such. If we assume that $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is "reasonably" large from the onset, the mean of the random variable $|(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ is "reasonably" large as well. The variance bound in [5] implies that *plenty* of values $|(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ must be concentrated close to this reasonably large mean, hence, by the dichotomy property, have to be in fact *large*. Thus, *many* planes will have *large* intersections with $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Adding up their contributions refines the initial bound on $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The details are spelled out in the next section.

The reason a reduction to the case of a plane curve is so appealing (also in [1]) is that the Lang–Weil bound (1) in the case of a plane curve has a distinctive advantage over the bounds (2) or (3) in the higher–dimensional case: in order for the power $q^{\dim X}$ that approximates $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ to dominate the error term, it takes only that $q > d^4 + \ldots$ in the case $\dim X = 1$, rather than $q > 12d^4 + \ldots$ in the case $\dim X = 2$ or $q > 5d^{13/3} + \ldots$ in the case $n > 3$.

2 Proof of the result

The crucial technique in the proof is the random sampling method from Section 2 in [5]. For us, a "plane" will mean a 2-dimensional affine linear subspace of $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ or $\mathbb{F}_q^n$, depending on the context. We need a variant of Lemma 7 in [5] for planes rather than hyperplanes.

Lemma 8. *Let E be a subset of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ of cardinality N. For a plane $H \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ chosen uniformly at random, consider the cardinality $|E \cap H|$ as a random variable.*

a) *The mean of $|E \cap H|$ is $\mu = \dfrac{N}{q^{n-2}}$.*

b) *The variance σ^2 of $|E \cap H|$ satisfies*

$$\sigma^2 \leq \frac{N}{q^{n-2}}.$$

Proof. We modify the proof of Lemma 7 in [5], just replacing hyperplanes by planes. The statement for the mean follows from the fact that any point of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ belongs to exactly $\dfrac{1}{q^{n-2}}$ of all the planes. Indeed, the map

$$\{\text{planes in } \mathbb{F}_q^n\} \to \{\text{planes in } \mathbb{F}_q^n \text{ containing } 0\}$$

sending a plane U to the unique translate U_0 of U containing 0 is $q^{n-2} : 1$, since the translates of some U_0 through 0 are exactly the planes $v + U_0$, where v belongs to an $(n-2)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$, complementary to U_0.

For points $a \neq b$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$, we count the number of planes containing both a and b. WLOG, $a = 0$. The number of planes containing the line through 0 and b equals $\dfrac{q^n - q}{q^2 - q}$. The number of planes through 0 equals $\dfrac{(q^n - 1)(q^n - q)}{(q^2 - 1)(q^2 - q)}$. Finally, the proportion of planes through 0 and b to the total number of planes is

$$\frac{q^2 - 1}{q^{2n-2} - q^{n-2}} \leq \frac{1}{q^{2n-4}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^2 + \sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}|E \cap H|^2 &= \mu + |E|\,(|E| - 1)\,\frac{\#\text{planes through two distinct points}}{\text{total number of planes}} \\
&\leq \mu + |E|(|E| - 1)\frac{1}{q^{2n-4}} \\
&\leq \mu + \mu^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

A plane slice of a geometrically irreducible hypersurface satisfies the following dichotomy property.

Lemma 9. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ be an absolutely irreducible hypersurface of degree d, defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let H be a plane defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Consider the intersection $X \cap H$. Then either*

a) $|(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q)| \geq q - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - d - 1$, *or*

b) $|(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq \frac{d^2}{4}$.

Proof. The statement is clear in the cases $H \subset X$ or $X \cap H = \emptyset$. In the generic case $\dim X \cap H = 1$, let $X_1, ..., X_s$ be the $\mathbb{F}_q$–components of $X \cap H$. Each X_i is 1–dimensional and has degree at most d.

If X_i is geometrically irreducible for some i, we apply the lower bound from (1).

Suppose that no X_i is geometrically irreducible. Let $d_i = \deg(X_i)$. By Lemma 2.3 in [1],

$$\#X_i(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq \frac{d_i^2}{4}.$$

Therefore,

$$|(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{d_i^2}{4} \leq \frac{d^2}{4}.$$

□

We say that a plane $H \subset \mathbb{A}^n$, defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, is "bad," if $X \cap H$ satisfies b), and otherwise we say that H is good.

Lemma 10. *For H chosen uniformly at random among planes over $\mathbb{F}_q$,*

$$Prob(H \text{ is bad}) \leq \frac{\frac{N}{q^{n-2}}}{\left(\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} - \frac{d^2}{4}\right)^2}.$$

Proof. If H is a bad hyperplane,

$$\left|\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) - \frac{N}{q^{n-2}}\right| \geq \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} - \frac{d^2}{4}.$$

Define k so that

$$\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} - \frac{d^2}{4} = k\sigma.$$

The bound for σ^2 from Lemma 8 yields

$$k \geq \frac{\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} - \frac{d^2}{4}}{\sqrt{\frac{N}{q^{n-2}}}},$$

and the statement follows from Chebyshev's inequality

$$\text{Prob}\left(\left|\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) - \frac{N}{q^{n-2}}\right| \geq k\sigma\right) \leq \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4. By the condition on N, we have $\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} - \frac{d^2}{4} > \alpha\frac{N}{q^{n-2}}$, hence Lemma 10 implies

$$\text{Prob}(H \text{ is bad}) \leq \frac{\frac{N}{q^{n-2}}}{\left(\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} - \frac{d^2}{4}\right)^2} < \frac{N/q^{n-2}}{\alpha^2(N/q^{n-2})^2} = \frac{q^{n-2}}{\alpha^2 N}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Prob}(H \text{ is good}) > 1 - \frac{q^{n-2}}{\alpha^2 N} = \frac{\alpha^2 N - q^{n-2}}{\alpha^2 N}.$$

Therefore, the mean μ of the random variable $|(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} = \mu &\geq \text{Prob}(H \text{ is good})\left(q - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - d - 1\right) \\ &> \frac{\alpha^2 N - q^{n-2}}{\alpha^2 N}\left(q - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - d - 1\right).\end{aligned}$$

The initial assumption on N yields the first inequality in the chain below:

$$N + eq^{n-2} > \frac{\alpha^2 N^2}{\alpha^2 N - q^{n-2}} > q^{n-1} - (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} - (d+1)q^{n-2}.$$

□

References

[1] A. Cafure, G. Matera, Improved explicit estimates on the number of solutions of equations over a finite field, Finite Fields and Their Applications 12 (2006) 155–185, `https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0405302`.

[2] S. Ghorpade, G. Lachud, Étale cohomology, , Lefschetz theorems and number of points of singular varieties over finite fields, Moscow Math J. 2 (3) (2002) 589–631.

[3] E. Kaltofen, Effective Noether irreducibility forms and applications, J. Comput. System Sci., 50 (2) 274–295, 1995. 23rd Symposium on the Theory of Computing (New Orleans, LA, 1991).

[4] S. Lang and A. Weil. Number of points of varieties in finite fields. Amer. J. Math., 76 (1954) 819–827.

[5] T. Tao, The Lang–Weil bound, `http://terrytao.wordpress.com/2012/08/31/the-lang-weil-bound/`, 2012.

[6] A. Weil, Sur les courbes algébriques et les varietés qui s'en déduisent. Hermann, Paris, 1948.

[7] J. Zahid, Non-singular points on hypersurfaces over $\mathbb{F}_q$, J. Math. Sci (New York), 2010, 171:6, 731–735, doi:10.1007/s10958-010-0177-6, `https://arxiv.org/abs/1001.0684`.

Department of Mathematics
ETH Zürich
kaloyan.slavov@math.ethz.ch